



Overview of Team Composition

This report shows Team Roles in order from most prominent (column 1) to least (column 9) for each person in the team. For each individual, the first line shown denotes views from Self-Perception; the second shows combined Observer views and the third shows the overall composition.

		00111	01000						
Name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Blue, Dave SPI completed on 27/01/201 Self-Perception Observations (4) Overall	2 PL PL PL	CF CO CO	ME SH CF	CO IMP SH	TW CF ME	SH ME TW	SP TW SP	ri Sp Imp	imp Ri Ri
Brown, Stuart SPI completed on 27/01/201 Self-Perception Observations (4) Overall	2 ME ME ME	CF CF CF	PL PL PL	TW SP TW	imp Tw Sp	SP IMP IMP	RI RI RI	SH CO CO	CO SH SH
Green, Peter SPI completed on 27/01/201 Self-Perception Observations (4) Overall	2 CF TW TW	TW RI RI	RI CF CF	SP CO PL	PL PL SP	ME IMP CO	IMP ME ME	SH SP IMP	CO SH SH
Pink, Jo SPI completed on 23/01/201 Self-Perception Observations (6) Overall	2 SH CO CO	CO SH SH	RI RI RI	imp Tw PL	PL PL TW	tw Imp Imp	CF ME CF	ME CF ME	SP SP SP
Purple, Jill SPI completed on 27/01/201 Self-Perception Observations (4) Overall	2 IMP CF CF	tw Imp Imp	CF TW TW	CO CO CO	SP ME ME	ME SP SP	PL PL PL	RI RI RI	SH SH SH
Yellow, Victoria SPI completed on 27/01/201 Self-Perception Observations (4) Overall	2 SP SP SP	CF PL CF	IMP SH ME	ME ME IMP	SH CF PL	PL RI SH	CO IMP RI	TW TW CO	RI CO TW


This report is based on Self-Perception plus Observer Assessments.




Team Contributions

Particular individuals will need to be brought in at the right time if the team is to be fully effective. This report offers suggestions as to who should take on the work of each Team Role within the team. Some roles are shared; some individuals are suggested to play more than one role.









This report is based on Self-Perception plus Observer Assessments.

Individuals with the highest percentile score for the named Team Role:

- CF Jill Purple
- TW Peter Green, Jill Purple
- ME Stuart Brown
- IMP Jill Purple
- PL Dave Blue
- CO Jo Pink
- RI Peter Green
- SP Victoria Yellow
- SH Jo Pink



Strong Examples of Team Roles

This report shows strong examples of Team Roles in the team in alphabetical order. A strong example of a Team Role is someone who has a clear idea of his or her Team Role preferences, has a good level of agreement between Self-Perception and Observer views (if applicable) and displays considerably more positive than negative behaviours for that role.

This report is based on Self-Perception plus Observer Assessments.

The following are strong examples of each Team Role:



Resource Investigator: Peter Green

Jo Pink



Co-ordinator: Jo Pink



Shaper: Jo Pink



Monitor Evaluator: Stuart Brown



Teamworker: Peter Green Jill Purple



Implementer:

Jill Purple




Completer Finisher:

Peter Green Jill Purple Victoria Yellow

Specialist:

Victoria Yellow

This report is continued on the following page.






Team Role Averages

This report shows the team average for each Team Role. The information below describes the possible positive and negative implications of the Team Role "culture".

This report is based on Self-Perception plus Observer Assessments.

This team has a strong service orientation with a willingness to do what is needed and to do it well. This combination will be invaluable, given the right setting. However, much will depend on whether it has been brought together for an assignment that is well-devised and well-understood from the outset. Failing that, the question is whether there is one strong creative person within the team who will take the lead. If not, then this team may lack direction.



The best scenario is of a team that will make a thorough job of any work taken on and be keen to ensure that standards are maintained. This team will strive for perfection and aim to achieve the highest professional reputation.

This team is likely to avoid any risk of conflict, but in so doing it may back away from taking difficult decisions. The team may need someone willing to take on a leading role in driving its members forward or who is prepared to stand up and take a strong minority view.



Overall Observer Responses

When observers complete an Observer Assessment, they can tick or double-tick adjectives which apply to a particular individual. This report accumulates the ticks received for each word for all team members, in descending order. Words which denote associated Team Role weaknesses are shown in italics.

This report is based on 26 Observer Assessments.

caring	23	persuasive	6
helpful	20	dedicated to subject	6
encouraging of others	19	inventive	5
accurate	16	enterprising	5
confident and relaxed	16	motivated by learning	4
consultative	15	eccentric	4
corrects errors	14	absent-minded	4
perfectionist	13	meticulous	4
inquisitive	13	impulsive	4
broad in outlook	13	territorial	3
logical	13	manipulative	3
studious	11	frightened of failure	3
realistic	11	persevering	3
perceptive	11	resistant to change	3
practical	11	over-delegating	3
efficient	11	sceptical	2
conscious of priorities	11	over-talkative	2
reliable	11	over-sensitive	2
hard-driving	10	inflexible	2
outspoken	10	inconsistent	2
outgoing	10	confrontational	2
free-thinking	10	reluctant to allocate work	2
competitive	10	unenthusiastic	2
keen to impart expertise	10	pushy	2
creative	9	uninvolved with specifics	2
seizes opportunities	9	impatient	2
challenging	9	tough	1
diplomatic	9	restricted in outlook	1
analytical	9	oblivious	1
disciplined	8	fussy	1
methodical	8	fearful of conflict	1
imaginative	7	unadventurous	1
shrewd	7	procrastinating	1
original	6	engrossed in own area	1
impartial	6	willing to adapt	0
self-reliant	6	indecisive	0